- Introduction/Background
- Energy Efficiency Endowment Fund Investment Opportunity
- Economics of Lost Energy Savings High Yield
- Low Risk Investment
- Energy Efficiency Examples
- How to Develop an Energy Efficiency Program







Energy Efficiency Investment – Highest/Safest Return

Introduction/Background

- Professional Engineer 35 Years in Energy Field
- 15 Years Designing Nuclear and Fossil Fuel Power Plants/CHP Plants
- Founded Bluestone Energy Services 1990
 - Design/Build efficiency solutions for hospitals, colleges, businesses
 - Grew Bluestone into a national company and sold it in 2011
- Founded Fairbanks Energy Services in 2014
 - Same business as bluestone retrofitting existing lighting, HVAC and controls for efficiency
 - Clients include Massachusetts General Hospital, MIT, Vertex Pharmaceuticals







Proven Investment

- History Green Revolving Funds
 - Sustainable Endowments Institute
 - As of 2016, registered 62 participating institutions committing total of \$131 million
 - SEI reports average return on GRF investment is 28%
 - Harvard GRF Started 2001
 - Invests \$12 million in energy efficiency annually
 - Investment saves \$4 million annually







Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

Lost Savings

- Operating with inefficient lighting and equipment results in "Lost Savings" – higher payments to the utility
- Lost Savings capital is forever unavailable to the institution
- Capture the Lost Savings with a high yield endowment fund investment

High Utility Incentives

- Currently CT and MA utility incentives are at an all time high
- It won't be forever
- Capture the incentives before they diminish with a high yield endowment fund investment





Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

- Endowment Fund Average Yield 5-6%
- Efficiency Project Investment Yield 15% to 25%
 - Typical efficiency project simple payback after utility incentives - 2-3 years
 - Currently utility incentives pay 30% to 60% of project cost
 - Cost not covered by incentives can be an endowment fund shared savings investment at 15% - 25% ROI
 - Operating Budget would see average 25% to 30% energy cost savings over term of investment





Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

Example: 2 Year Simple Payback after Utility Incer										
Project Installed Cost	\$	1,000,000				-				
Utility Incentives	\$	400,000								
Final College Cost/Endowment Fund Investment	\$	600,000								
Annual Savings	\$	300,000				01/15/2006				
Year		1		2		3		4		5
Annual Savings	Ś	300,000	ć	309,000	ć	210 270	ć	007.040	~	227 652
	Ŷ	500,000	Ş	509,000	Ş	318,270	Ş	327,818	Ş	337,653
College Operating Budget Annual Payment	Υ	500,000	\$	509,000	Ş	318,270	Ş	327,818	Ş	557,000
	~	300,000	\$	509,000	<u> </u>	318,270	<u>ې</u>	327,818	\$	337,033
College Operating Budget Annual Payment	\$	220,000	\$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000
College Operating Budget Annual Payment to Endowment Fund (\$600,000 Financed Over 5 Years at 25%)	\$	220,000	> \$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000	\$ \$	220,000
College Operating Budget Annual Payment to Endowment Fund	\$ \$		\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	



Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

Example: 3 Year Simple Payback after Utility Incentives

Project Installed Cost	\$ 1,000,000
Utility Incentives	\$ 400,000
Final College Cost/Endowment Investment	\$ 600,000
Annual Savings	\$ 200,000



Year	 1	 2	3	 4	 5	6
Annual Savings	\$ 200,000	\$ 206,000	\$ 212,180	\$ 218,545	\$ 225,102	\$ 231,855
College Operating Budget Annual Payment	 	 				
to Endowment Fund						
(\$600,000 Financed Over 6 Years at 15%)	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000
College Operating Budget Annual Cost Reduction	\$ 40,000	\$ 46,000	\$ 52,180	\$ 58,545	\$ 65,102	\$ 71,855
Energy Cost Escalating at 3%/Year	 	 				



Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

- Case Study Boston Area University
- Lighting Upgrade Program \$21 million



- Current Investment- \$3.5 million/year (\$2.5 million University /\$1 million utility incentives)
- 6 Years to complete lighting upgrade
- Energy savings is approximately **30%** of installed cost
- After first year, university will have Lost Savings of $30\% \times 17.5$ million = 5.25 million



Capture Lost Savings and High Utility Incentives

- Case Study Boston Area University Endowment Fund Investment
- Invest additional \$2.5 million with additional \$1 million of utility incentives for 3 years
- Total annual investment \$7 million
- 3 Years to complete lighting upgrade



• After first year, university will have Lost Savings of 30% x \$14 million = \$4.2 million



Comparison Lighting Upgrade Plans – Boston Area University

Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	То	otals
Current Plan									
Annual Lighting Project Cost \$3.5 million									
University Payment	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)			
Utility Incentive	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0			
Lighting Left to Do	\$ 17.5	\$ 14.0	\$ 10.5	\$ 7.0	\$ 3.5	\$ -			
Captured Annual Savings (3% escalation)	\$ 1.05	\$ 2.13	\$ 3.25	\$ 4.39	\$ 5.57	\$ 6.79	\$ 7.00	\$	30.2
Cash Flow (University Payment plus Annual									
Captured Savings)	\$ (1.45)	\$ (0.37)	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.89	\$ 3.07	\$ 4.29	\$ 7.00	\$	15.2
Present Worth Cash Flow (6%)	(\$1.37)	(\$0.33)	\$0.63	\$1.50	\$2.30	\$3.03	\$4.65	\$	10.4
Accelerated Plan									
Annual Lighting Project Cost \$7 million									
University Payment	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)						
Utility Incentive	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.0						
Endowment Fund Investment	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.5						
Lighting Left to Do	\$ 14	\$ 7	\$ -						
Captured Annual Savings (3% escalation)	\$ 2.10	\$ 4.26	\$ 6.49	\$ 6.69	\$ 6.89	\$ 7.09	\$ 7.31	\$	40.8
Investment Return									
(3) Five Year Endowment loans of \$2.5M	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)				
at 20% interest		(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)			
			(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)	(\$0.84)		
Cash Flow (University Payment plus Endowment									
Loan plus Captured Annual Savings)	\$ (1.24)	\$ 0.09	\$ 1.48	\$ 4.18	\$ 4.38	\$ 5.42	\$ 6.47	\$	20.8
Present Worth Cash Flow (6%)	(\$1.17)	\$0.08	\$1.25	\$3.31	\$3.27	\$3.82	\$4.30	\$	14.9



Safe Investment

- Utility has ownership role and provides 3rd party review
- Efficiency Solutions incentivized by utility are tried and true
 - No Black Boxes
- Efficiency Solution Provider Savings Guaranty Measurement & Verification Plan
 - M&V mature discipline
- Energy Savings Insurance
 - 2-5% cost of savings value





- Option A: Typical College Construction Process
 - Obtain bids, select and contract with an engineering firm to audit systems and provide a report
 - Obtain bids, select and contract with a design engineering firm to provide plans and specifications
 - Obtain bids, select and contract with contractors to install the measures
 - Obtain bids, select and contract with a construction management firm
- Process will take a year before any energy is saved (Lost Savings)
- Division of responsibility no one entity is responsible for the overall project, project savings or the utility incentives and there is no guaranty of utility incentive or savings.
- Design engineering firms generally do not have expertise in providing cost effective efficiency upgrades to existing systems.



- Option B: Ramp Up College Facilities Department
 - The typical facilities department is understaffed, dealing with deferred maintenance, and trying to keep everything operating energy efficiency is an afterthought.
 - Staff could be added for the energy initiative but at additional cost and the result would be an in-house version of Option A.



- Option C: Outsource the Process to an Energy Service Company, (ESCO)
 - ESCOs have expertise to identify, develop and implement efficiency solutions
 - ESCOs will guaranty utility incentives and savings
 - Operate on a shared savings basis over a multi-year term where the ESCO takes the majority of the savings, (80-90%)
 - ESCOs finance the efficiency solutions, capture the Lost Savings, and make a 20-25% ROI
 - No room for Endowment Fund investment



- Option D: Engage Efficiency Solution Provider, (ESP)
 - Provides all services of ESCO except financing:
 - Identifies, develops and implements efficiency solutions
 - Guarantees utility incentives and savings
 - What to look for in an ESP
 - Nimble, reputable company with expertise in all things efficiency
 - Politically adept- able to work with different college departments
 - Vendor and product agnostic freedom to provide the best solutions
 - Provides turnkey one stop-shop service
 - Understands Lost Savings and will work expeditiously
 - Is willing to have "skin in the game" conceptually develop projects and estimated economics at no cost so college can make informed decisions



Recent Comprehensive Efficiency Development – 3 CT Hospitals

	Installed Cost (\$)	Energy Savings (\$)	Maintenance Savings (\$)	•	Total Savings (\$)	Estimated Utility Incentives (\$)	Hospital Cost (\$)	Simple Payback Years
TA (Mechanical Projects)	\$ 5,568,592	\$ 1,202,064		\$	6 1,202,064	\$ 2,974,166	\$ 2,594,426	2.2
Phase 2 Lighting	\$3,403,000	\$ 499,735	\$ 59,767	\$	559,502	\$ 1,434,776	\$ 1,968,224	3.5

Combined

\$8,971,592 \$ 1,701,799 \$ 59,767 \$ 1,761,566 \$ 4,408,942 \$ 4,562,650 2.6









Turnkey Efficiency Solutions



Massachusetts Office 50 Derby St, Suite 260 Hingham, MA 02043

Connecticut Office

100 Pearl Street, 14th Floor Hartford, CT 06103

Chicago Office

3601 Algonquin Road, Suite 304 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008



